

Number of Edges in Random Intersection Graph on Surface of a Sphere.

by

Bhupendra Gupta ¹

Faculty of Engineering and Sciences,
Indian Institute of Information Technology (DM)-Jabalpur, India.

Abstract

In this article, we consider ' N 'spherical caps of area $4\pi p$ were uniformly distributed over the surface of a unit sphere. We study the random intersection graph G_N constructed by these caps. We prove that for $p = \frac{c}{N^\alpha}$, $c > 0$ and $\alpha > 2$, the number of edges in graph G_N follow the Poisson distribution. Also we derive the strong law results for the number of isolated vertices in G_N : for $p = \frac{c}{N^\alpha}$, $c > 0$ for $\alpha < 1$, there is no isolated vertex in G_N almost surely i.e., there are atleast $N/2$ edges in G_N and for $\alpha > 3$, every vertex in G_N is isolated i.e., there is no edge in edge set $\mathcal{E}_N$.

AMS 2000 subject classifications: 05C80, 91D30.

Keywords: Angular radius, random intersection graph, random caps, threshold function.

¹Corresponding Author. email:gupta.bhupendra@gmail.com, bhupen@iiitdm.in

1 Introduction.

Random intersection graphs are were introduce in [8], and defined as:

Let us consider a set V with n vertices and another set of objects W with m objects. Define a bipartite graph $G^*(n, m, p)$ with independent vertex sets V and W . Edges between $v \in V$ and $w \in W$ exists independently with probability p . The random intersection graph $G(n, m, p)$ derived from $G^*(n, m, p)$ is defined on the vertex set V with vertices $v_1, v_2 \in V$ are adjacent if and only if there exists some $w \in W$ such that both v_1 and v_2 are adjacent to w in $G^*(n, m, p)$. Also define W_v be a random subset of W such that each element of W_v is adjacent to $v \in V$. Any two vertices $v_1, v_2 \in V$ are adjacent if and only if $W_{v_1} \cap W_{v_2} \neq \phi$, and edge set $E(G)$ is define as

$$E(G) = \{\{v_i, v_j\} : v_i, v_j \in V, W_{v_i} \cap W_{v_j} \neq \phi\}.$$

Dudley, [5], derive the distribution of the degree of a vertex of random intersection graph. Also show that if n be the number of vertices and $\lfloor n^\alpha \rfloor$ be the number of objects, the vertex degree changes sharply between $\alpha < 1$, $\alpha = 1$ and $\alpha > 1$. Bhupendra Gupta [3] derive the strong threshold for the connectivity between any two arbitrary vertices of vertex set V , and determine the almost sure probability bounds for the vertex degree of a typical vertex of random intersection graph.

Our Model. In this paper we considered the random intersection graph generated by the spherical caps on the surface of a 3-dimensional unite sphere.

Let $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_N$ be the spherical caps and $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_N$ are their respective centers on the surface of a unit sphere. Let $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_N$ are Uniformly distributed over the surface of unit sphere. Now define a random intersection graph G_N on the surface of unite sphere, with vertex set $\mathcal{X}_N = \{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_N\}$ and edge set $\mathcal{E}_N = \{X_i X_j : C_i \cap C_j \neq \phi, i \neq j\}$.

The aim of this paper is to investigate the evolution of edges in the graph G_N with vertex set $\mathcal{X}_N = \{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_N\}$, $N = 1, 2, \dots$, where the vertices are independently

and uniformly distributed on the surface of a unit sphere. H. Maehara, [6] gives the asymptotic results for the various properties of random intersection graph of random spherical caps on surface of unit sphere. Also Bhupendra Gupta, [2] gives the strong threshold function $p_0(N) = o\left(\frac{\log N}{N}\right)$ for the coverage of the surface of a unit sphere by the spherical caps. Bhupendra Gupta shown that for large N , if $\frac{Np}{\log N} > 1/2$ the surface of sphere is completely covered by the N caps almost surely, and if $\frac{Np}{\log N} \leq 1/2$ a partition of the surface of sphere is remains uncovered by the N caps almost surely.

2 Supporting Results.

Let $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_N$ be the spherical caps on the surface of a unit sphere with their centers $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_N$ and Uniformly distributed over the surface of unit sphere. We defined a random intersection graph G_N on the surface of unite sphere, with vertex set $\mathcal{X}_N = \{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_N\}$ and edge set $\mathcal{E}_N = \{X_i X_j : C_i \cap C_j \neq \phi, i \neq j\}$.

Let $p := p(a)$ be the probability that a point ‘ x ’ on the surface of unit sphere is covered by a specified spherical cap of angular radius ‘ a ’. Then the area of the spherical cap of angular radius ‘ a ’ is equal to $4\pi p$.

Poisson Approximation.

Let $|\mathcal{E}|$ denote the cardinality of the edge set i.e., the number of edges in the graph G_N .

Define a indicator function

$$\xi_i = \begin{cases} 1, & C_i \cap C_j \neq \phi, i \neq j; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

that is if X_i is an end point of an edge, then ξ_i is equal to 1, and hence $|\mathcal{E}| = \sum_{i \in I} \xi_i$, where $I := \{i : X_i X_j \in \mathcal{E}, i \neq j\}$ is the index set.

$$\begin{aligned}
E \mid \mathcal{E} \mid &= E\left[\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i\right] \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n E[\xi_i] = \binom{N}{2} 4p(1-p) \\
&= 2N(N-1)p(1-p) \leq 2N^2p(1-p).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Theorem 2.1 (*Arratia 1989, [1]*) Suppose ξ_i , $i \in I$ is a finite collection of Bernoulli random variables. Set $p_i := E[\xi_i] = P[\xi_i = 1]$, and $p_{ij} := E[\xi_i \xi_j]$. Let $\lambda := \sum_{i \in I} p_i$, and suppose λ is finite. Let $\mid \mathcal{E} \mid := \sum_{i \in I} \xi_i$. Then

$$d_{TV}(\mid \mathcal{E} \mid, Po(\lambda)) \leq \min(3, \lambda^{-1}) \left(\sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_i \setminus \{i\}} p_{ij} + \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_i} p_i p_j \right). \tag{2.3}$$

where, $\mathcal{N}_i$ be the adjacency neighborhood of i , i.e., the set $\{i\} \cup \{j \in I : X_i X_j \in \mathcal{E}\}$.

3 Weak Law Results.

Theorem 3.1 For $p := p(a) = \frac{c}{N^\alpha}$, where $c > 0$ and $\alpha > 2$. Then sufficiently large N ,

$$d_{TV}(\mid \mathcal{E} \mid, Po(\lambda)) \rightarrow 0, \tag{3.4}$$

i.e., the number of edges in the graph G_N is a Poisson random variable with parameter $\lambda = \sum_{i \in I} p_i < \infty$.

Proof. First we consider,

$$p_i = E[\xi_i] = P[\xi_i = 1]. \tag{3.5}$$

We know there exists an edge between X_i and X_j iff $C_i \cap C_j \neq \emptyset$, i.e. the distance between X_i and X_j is less than $2a$. Now consider another spherical cap D_i centered at X_i and of radius $2a$.

$$\begin{aligned}
P[\xi_i = 1] &= P[C_i \cap C_j \neq \emptyset] \\
&= P[X_j \in D_i] = p(2a).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Now, from equation (2.1), of Bhupendra [2], we have

$$p := p(a) = \sin^2(a/2). \quad (3.7)$$

Using (3.7) in (3.6), we get

$$\begin{aligned} P[\xi_i = 1] &= \sin^2(a) = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \cos(2a)) \\ &= 4p(1 - p). \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Using (3.8) in (3.5), we get

$$p_i = E[\xi_i] = 4p(1 - p). \quad (3.9)$$

Now consider

$$\begin{aligned} p_{ij} &= E[\xi_i \xi_j] \\ &= 1.P[\xi_i \xi_j = 1] = P[\xi_i = 1, \xi_j = 1] \\ &= \sum_{l=1, l \neq i}^n \sum_{k=1, k \neq j}^n P[(C_i \cap C_l) \neq \phi, (C_k \cap C_j) \neq \phi] - P[(C_i \cap C_j) \neq \phi] \\ &= \sum_{l=1, l \neq i}^n P[(C_i \cap C_l) \neq \phi] \cdot \sum_{k=1, k \neq j}^n P[(C_k \cap C_j) \neq \phi] - P[(C_i \cap C_j) \neq \phi] \\ &= (4(N-1)p(1-p))^2 - 4p(1-p) \\ &= 16((N-1)p(1-p))^2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{4(N-1)^2 p(1-p)}\right) \\ &\leq 16((N-1)p(1-p))^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Now by Theorem 2.1, we have

$$d_{TV}(|\mathcal{E}|, Po(\lambda)) \leq \min(3, \lambda^{-1}) \left(\sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_i \setminus \{i\}} p_{ij} + \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_i} p_i p_j \right).$$

Using (3.9) and (3.10), we get

$$\begin{aligned} d_{TV}(|\mathcal{E}|, Po(\lambda)) &\leq \min(3, \lambda^{-1}) \left(\sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_i \setminus \{i\}} (4(N-1)p(1-p))^2 + \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_i} 4p(1-p)4p(1-p) \right) \\ &\leq \min(3, \lambda^{-1}) \left(\frac{N(N-1)^3}{2} (4p(1-p))^2 + \frac{N(N-1)}{2} (4p(1-p))^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Taking $p = \frac{c}{N^\alpha}$ and $\alpha > 2$ in above, we get

$$d_{TV}(|\mathcal{E}|, Po(\lambda)) \rightarrow 0, \quad N \rightarrow \infty.$$

4 Strong Law Results.

Proposition 4.1 *Let G_N be a random intersection graph. Let $p = \frac{c}{N^\alpha}$, then*

- i. *For $0 < \alpha < 1$, there is no isolated vertex in G_N almost surely.*
- ii. *For $\alpha < 2$ at least one isolated vertex in G_N almost surely.*
- iii. *For $\alpha > 3$, every vertex in G_N is an isolated vertex.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{X}[B]$ denote that number of vertices of the finite set point $\mathcal{X}$ that lies in the set B . Let D_i spherical cap centered at X_i and of radius $2a$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 P[\text{at least one isolated vertex in } G_N] &= P[\cup_{i=1}^{N-1} (\mathcal{X}[D_i] < 1)] \\
 &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} P[\mathcal{X}[D_i] < 1] \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} (1 - p(2a))^{N-1} = N(1 - p(2a))^{N-1} \\
 &\leq (N-1) \exp(-(N-1)p(2a)) \\
 &= (N-1) \exp(-4(N-1)p(1-p)),
 \end{aligned}$$

since $p(2a) = 4p(1-p)$. Now taking $p = \frac{c}{N^\alpha}$, we get

$$P[\text{at least one isolated vertex in } G_N] \leq (N-1) \exp\left(-\frac{4(N-1)}{N^\alpha} \left(1 - \frac{1}{N^\alpha}\right)\right). \quad (4.11)$$

The above probability is summable for $0 < \alpha < 1$, i.e.,

$$\sum_{N=1}^{\infty} P[\text{at least one isolated vertex in } G_N] < \infty.$$

Then by the Borel-Cantelli's Lemma, we have

$$P[\text{no isolated vertex in } G_N, \quad i.o.] = 1.$$

This implies that for $\alpha < 1$ there is no isolated vertex in G_N almost surely.

For the second part of proposition, we consider

$$\begin{aligned}
P[\text{every vertex is an isolated vertex in } G_N] &= P[\cap_{i=1}^{N-1} (\mathcal{X}[D_i] < 1)] \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^{N-1} P[\mathcal{X}[D_i] < 1] \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^{N-1} (1 - p(2a))^{N-1} = \left((1 - p(2a))^{N-1} \right)^{N-1} \\
&\leq (\exp(-(N-1)p(2a)))^{N-1} \\
&= \exp(-(N-1)^2 p(2a)) \\
&= \exp(-4(N-1)^2 p(1-p)),
\end{aligned}$$

since $p(2a) = 4p(1-p)$. Now taking $p = \frac{c}{N^\alpha}$, we get

$$P[\text{every vertex is an isolated vertex in } G_N] \leq \exp\left(-\frac{4(N-1)^2}{N^\alpha} \left(1 - \frac{1}{N^\alpha}\right)\right). \quad (4.12)$$

The above probability is summable for $\alpha < 2$, i.e.,

$$\sum_{N=1}^{\infty} P[\text{every vertex is an isolated vertex in } G_N] < \infty.$$

Then by the Borel-Cantelli's Lemma, we have

$$P[\text{at least one isolated vertex in } G_N, \quad i.o.] = 1.$$

This implies that for $\alpha < 2$ there is at least one isolated vertex in G_N almost surely.

For the third part of proposition, we consider

$$P[\mathcal{E} \neq \phi] \leq P[|\mathcal{E}| \geq \epsilon]. \quad (4.13)$$

By the Chebyshev's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
P[|\mathcal{E}| \geq \epsilon] &\leq \frac{E|\mathcal{E}|}{\epsilon} \\
&\leq \frac{2}{\epsilon} N^2 p(1-p).
\end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

Taking $p = \frac{c}{N^\alpha}$, we get

$$P[|\mathcal{E}| \geq \epsilon] \leq \frac{2N^2}{\epsilon} \frac{c}{N^\alpha}.$$

Hence from (4.13), we have

$$P[\mathcal{E} \neq \phi] \leq \frac{2N^2}{\epsilon} \frac{c}{N^\alpha}. \quad (4.15)$$

The above probability is summable for $\alpha > 3$, i.e.,

$$\sum_{N=1}^{\infty} P[\mathcal{E} \neq \phi] < \infty.$$

Then by the Borel-Cantelli's Lemma, we have

$$P[\mathcal{E} = \phi, \quad i.o.] = 1.$$

This implies that

$$|\mathcal{E}| = 0, \quad \text{almost surely,}$$

i.e., if $p = \frac{c}{N^\alpha}$; $\alpha > 3$, then there is no edge in the intersection graph almost surely, and hence every vertex is an isolated vertex almost surely.

Theorem 4.2 *Let G_N be a random intersection graph. Let $p = \frac{c}{N^\alpha}$, then for $\alpha < 1$, there are at least $N/2$ edges in G_N almost surely. For $\alpha > 3$, there is no edge in edge set $\mathcal{E}_n$.*

Proof. From the Proposition 4.1, we have for $\alpha < 1$, there is no isolated vertex in G_N almost surely, i.e., every vertex is connected with at least one other vertex. This implies that at least $N/2$ edges in G_n almost surely.

For $\alpha > 3$, every vertex in G_N is isolated almost surely, implies that there is no edge in G_N almost surely.

References

- [1] Arrata,R., Goldstein,L. and Gordon,L., 1989. Two moments suffice for Poisson approximation: the Chen-Stein method. The Annals of Probability, Vol. 17, pp 9-25.
- [2] Bhupendra Gupta 2008 , A Strong threshold for the size of random caps to cover a sphere. Communicated.
- [3] Bhupendra Gupta 2008, Vertex Degree of Random Intersection Graph. Communicated.
- [4] Chow,Y.S., Teicher,H. 2004, Probability Theory, third edition, Springer Text in Statistics.
- [5] D. Stark. The vertex degree distribution of random intersection graphs, Random Structures and Algorithms, **Vol. 24**(3): 249 - 258 , (2004).
- [6] Maehara,H. 2004, On the intersection graph of random caps on a sphere. European Journal of Combinatorics, Vol. 25, pp 707-718.
- [7] Shiriyayev, A.N. 1984, Probability, second edition, Springer-Verlag, New York Inc.
- [8] Karonski,M., Scheinerman,E.R. and Singer, K.B.-Cohen, (1999), On random intersection graphs: the subgraph problem. Combinatorics, Probability and Computing, Vol. 8, pp 131-159.